AZ standards EPA did not take action on that need resolution & future action

No.	What/Where	Why Action Delayed	ADEQ Feedback/Future Steps
1	Narrative Nutrient	(b)(5)	(b)(5) DELIBERATIVE
	Criteria for Lakes & Reservoirs;		
	R18-11-108.03		
2	Text revisions to Suspended Sediment Concentration standard's existing exclusion for precipitation events; R18-11-109.D.2	(b)(5) DELIBERATIVE	(b)(5) DELIBERATIVE
3	Replacement of TP and TN criteria with the new narrative nutrient criteria; R18-11-109.F.4	(b)(5) DELIBERATIVE	(b)(5) DELIBERATIVE
4	Eliminated DWS criteria for strontium and tritium (radiochemicals); R18-11-109.G.3 and G.4	(b) (5)	
5	Eliminated numeric criteria for specific OAWs; R18-11-112.H	Requires justification. ADEQ provided an email to justify the revisions; while the rationale seems acceptable for most changes to this section, it's unclear whether the elimination of site-specific criteria for Chromium, Manganese, and Arsenic is okay given that the default criteria are less stringent.	ADEQ states that the site-specific criteria date back to 1992 and they can't find adequate scientific or technical rationale to support them. Ask ADEQ to provide justification for why these OAWs do not need special protection for these 3 pollutants (since the site-specific criteria may have been based on ambient H20 quality to prevent a decrease in H20 quality).
6	New EDW provision related to applying only A&Wedw criteria to sporadic, infrequent, or emergency point source discharges; R18-11-113.E	(b)(5) DELIBERATIVE	Ask ADEQ to provide justification. Discuss with Region 9's permits office.

March 6, 2009

No.	What/Where	Why Action Delayed	ADEQ Feedback/Future Steps
7	Appendix A Numeric		
	Criteria (SEE		
	SEPARATE TABLE)		
8	Appendix B revisions	(b)(5) DELIBERATIVE	Ask ADEQ to provide additional clarity on
	to designated uses that		and justify the specific changes made.
	are not additional		
	designated uses, e.g.,		
	eliminated uses		

APPENDIX A NUMERIC CRITERIA







